QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(continued)

Salaries and allowances drawn by Ministers

Q.—217. **Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY** (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the yearwar particulars of salaries and travelling allowances of Ministers and the amount spent on furnishing and decorating Minister' quarters and on cars since the establishment of Responsible Government ?)

A.—**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA** (Chief Minister).—

Particulars	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51	1951-52	1952-53 up to end of September 1952
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(*a*) Salaries	1,04.507 0 0	91,155 0 0	78,681 0 0	66,000 0 0	32,400 0 0
(*b*) Travelling Allowances ...	37,264 14 0	32,746 2 0	27,193 15 6	25,037 8 0	12,272 15 0
(*c*) Maintenance of—					
(i) residences including light and water charges ...	19,481 10 4	26,718 5 9	20,218 2 6	22,735 3 0	15,136 5 9
(ii) furnishing ...	11,153 10 6	7,693 9 0	2,790 8 9	355 7 6	7,631 3 6
Total of (i) and (ii) ...	30,635 4 10	34,411 14 9	24,008 11 3	23,090 10 6	22,767 9 6
(*d*) Maintenance of cars ...	28,106 15 6	20,653 12 3	18,251 0 3	10,014 15 9	4,208 1 0
(*e*) Purchase of new cars—Nine in 1947-48 ...			68,360 3 0		
Do Six Dodges in 1951-52 ...			1,03,971 10 0		
Do One Chevrolet in 1952-53 ...			20,400 0 0		

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Members may go on putting supplementaries.

Sri K. S. VASAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—It was said the other day that volumes had to be printed; but in half a page the answer is given.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಕಾರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೊಸದರಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಪೇರಿ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯೊ ಅಥವಾ ಹಳೆಯವಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ರಿಪೇರಿ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯೊ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The Hon'ble Member knows it, because he is also in possession of a Government car.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—1951-52ರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಾಬಿಗೆ ಹತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ, 1949-50ರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have already replied.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ (ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ) —1951-52ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಂಡ ಕಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾರು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Five cars are being used by the five Ministers. One car met with an accident and it became so useless that it was sold away in public auction.

ಶ್ರಿ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಎಲ್ಲಿ accident ಆಯಿತು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—One of the guests invited had taken the car and it met with an accident outside Bangalore.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಇನ್ಷ್ಯೂರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೇ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is under examination.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಎಲ್ಲಿ accident ಆಯಿತು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Within Mysore State.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಎಲ್ಲಿ, ಯಾವ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I think it is on Bangalore—Hassan Road.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಆ ಅತಿಥಿಗಳು ಯಾರು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That information is not necessary.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ (ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಕಾರ್ ಇನ್ಷ್ಯೂರೆನ್ಸ್ compulsory ಅಲ್ಲವೆ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—It is being examined ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ, ಇನ್ಷ್ಯೂರೆನ್ಸ್ compulsory ಅಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir, it is compulsory and I might state it was not insured. Therefore Government are investigating why it was not insured.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ (ಮಾಗಡಿ).—ಆ ಕಾರು ಮಾರಾಟವಾಯಿತಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—The Hon'ble Chief Minister was pleased to state that one car had been damaged. What about the other fifteen cars ? Where are they now ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—There are no fifteen cars. Nine cars, which the previous Ministers were using were sold in public auction as they became old. I learn that they brought almost the same amount of money which was invested on them. Now we have got only six cars for six Ministers.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂ.—ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಂಡ ತಾರೀಖು ಯಾವುದು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is a different question. I want notice. I will furnish the information.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—In the year 1948-49 a sum of Rs. 28,000 has been spent on the maintenance of Cars. Can you tell me what the items of mainenance are ? Sir.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I want notice, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—During the year 1952-53 it must be within the notice of the Chief Minister that they have spent a sum of Rs. 4,208 over the maintenance of the cars within these six months.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I want notice, Sir.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA (Chennagiri).—What is reason for the reduction in the salary of Ministers during 1948-49 ; is it because there has been reduction in the salaries ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is because the office of the Dewan was there before and the salary was also included there.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Even after the Dewan's office was abolished, you find there has been progressive reduction in the salary.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, if the Hon'ble Member is aggrieved against the reduction, I am prepared to take the hint, Sir.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—I want information. Questions are put to elicit information. I want to know if there has been any reduction in the salary of Ministers now ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The House knows it very well. The House has passed an Act.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್ (ನೆಲಮಂಗಲ ಅನುಸೂಚಿತ ಜಾತಿಗಳು).-ಈ ಹಳೆಯ ಕಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಮೊಬಲಗೆಷ್ಟು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—As I said, roughly we have realised as much as we invested. I am prepared to give the details if any other question is put on the subject.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಈ ಕಾರುಗಳ ಹರಾಜಿಗೆ ತಾವು ಯಾವ ವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದಿರಿ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Usual public auction.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Is it not advisable to give local Members Conveyance allowance, in view of the

fact that they are not paid Travelling allowance ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—If the House passes such a measure I have no objection whatsoever for such an allowance.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ (ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ).—1948ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಅಂದರೆ ಒಂದೇ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ಅಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಕಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಗೂ ಆಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರ ತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗಿಗಿಂತಲೂ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಕಡಮೆ ಕಾರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೂ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿರ ತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರಲು ಕಾರಣ ವೇನು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಬೆಲೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA—May be.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮುಲ್ಕಾ ಗೋವಿಂದರೆಡ್ಡಿ (ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ).—ತಾವು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಡಕೋಟಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಮೊಬಲಗೂ ಸಹ ಇದರಲ್ಲೇ include ಆಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮುಲ್ಕಾ ಗೋವಿಂದರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಇದರ ಮಾರಾಟದಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಹಣವೆಷ್ಟು ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It was sold for one lakh of rupees.

———

Government orders passed up to end of March 1952.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is another question which may now be taken up.

The Office has received the reply to Question No. 147 by Sri K. Puttaswamy. There was no time to get it printed. So Sri Puttaswamy will read out his question and the Chief Minister will read out the answer.

†*Q.* 147.—**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY** (Srirangapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Government orders passed up to the end of March 1952, that were kept in abeyance for perusal by the present Ministry ;

(*b*) the cases in which the Government Orders were either modified or reversed (the nature of the cases and the reasons for changing the Government orders may be given) ;

(*c*) the number of cases in which the parties were heard and the number of cases in which the parties were not heard ;

(*d*) if there are any cases in which the parties were not heard, the reasons for not hearing the parties before either modifying or setting aside the Government orders ?

A.—**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—(*a*) No orders were kept in abeyance.

(*b*) Nine. The orders were modified to avoid discrimination and to infuse confidence in the services and the public.

(*c*) There was no occasion or necessity to hear the parties in any of these cases.

(*d*) *Vide* answer to (*c*) above.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ನಾನು nature of the cases ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ತಾವು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ವಿವರಣೆ ಹೇಳುವಿರಾ ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The nature of cases are (1) grant of advance increments to 6 officials, (2) grant of pension to P. Rama Raju, (3) grant of a Board License to an Excise Contractor, Hassan and (4) grant of arbitrary pension to Sri B. S. Puttaswamy, Labour Commissioner. The order granting the pension was not withdrawn. But the official phraseology " arbitrary pension " was rationalised.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಈಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ.ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಅಧಿಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes ; even so, arbitrary pension had been granted.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it permissible to grant pension to persons who are now in service ?

†For previous reference on this Question please see proceedings of the first day, pages 1 to 2.